

 CIENCIAS DE LA
COMUNICACIÓN

+Lectura
GRATIS
en la nube



TÉCNICAS Y FÓRMULAS DE LA NUEVA DOCENCIA

 Lucía Pérez Lomas
Pablo Aguilar Conde
Jhoana Raquel Córdova Camacho
Coordinadores

 tirant
humanidades

Copyright © 2020



- © FÓRUM XXI, 2020
Editor: David Caldevilla Domínguez
Primera edición, 2020, Madrid
- © TIRANT LO BLANCH
Calle Artes Gráficas nº 14
46010 Valencia (España)
TELF.S.: 96/361 00 48 - 50
www.tirant.com
Librería virtual: www.tirant.es

Reservados todos los derechos. Queda rigurosamente prohibida, sin la autorización escrita del titular del Copyright, bajo las sanciones establecidas de las leyes, la reproducción parcial o total de esta obra por cualquier medio o procedimiento de difusión y copia, incluidos la reprografía y el tratamiento informático, para su uso comercial. Dichas leyes contemplan penas de prisión, multas e indemnizaciones por daños y perjuicios para quienes reprodujeran, plagiaran, distribuyeran o publicaren el contenido de este libro, o alguna parte del mismo, sin permiso explícito del titular de los derechos de reproducción (Fòrum XXI).

Fòrum XXI no se responsabiliza de las opiniones vertidas por los autores en los textos recogidos en el presente libro ni éstas representan la postura oficial de Fòrum XXI sobre los temas tratados, quedando bajo exclusiva responsabilidad legal de los autores las consecuencias que sus afirmaciones pudieran comportar.

Maqueta:
Disset Ediciones

ISBN: 978-84-18614-10-1
Depósito legal: V-2668-2020

Impreso en España
Printed in Spain

Percepción de la convivencia escolar en aulas con alumnado afectado con Enfermedades Raras (ER): un estudio mediante cuestionarios diseñados como modelos de conocimiento

MAIDER PÉREZ DE VILLARREAL ZUFIAURRE

1. ESCUELA INCLUSIVA Y ENFERMEDADES RARAS	359
1.1. Aspectos a destacar en investigaciones preliminares	360
1.2. Objetivo general y objetivos específicos del diseño de los cuestionarios	361
1.3. Diseño y aplicabilidad de los cuestionarios	362
2. MODELO DE CONOCIMIENTO DE LOS CUESTIONARIOS	363
2.1. Diseño de Modelos de conocimiento	364
2.2. Cuestionarios para los tres agentes educativos implicados: alumnado, profesorado y familias	365
2.3. Comparativa de las dimensiones de los 3 cuestionarios para su posterior análisis	366
3. RESULTADOS TRAS LA COMPARATIVA DE LA DIMENSIÓN DE PERCEPCIÓN DE MALTRATO	367
4. CONCLUSIONES	371
5. REFERENCIAS BIBLIOGRÁFICAS	371

Audio feedback in higher education: the case of an online English-medium instruction course

MERCEDES QUEROL-JULIÁN

1. INTRODUCTION	373
2. OBJECTIVES	374
3. METHODOLOGY	375
4. RESULTS	375
4.1. Learners' evaluation of audio feedback	375
4.2. Analysis of audio feedback	378
5. DISCUSSION	382
6. CONCLUSIONS	383
7. BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES	384

Estrategia coordinada de innovación inter-gradados en empleo público a través del aprendizaje cooperativo y colaborativo

JUAN JOSÉ RASTROLLO SUÁREZ

1. INTRODUCCIÓN	385
2. OBJETIVOS	387
3. METODOLOGÍA	388
4. DISCUSIÓN	392
5. RESULTADOS Y CONCLUSIONES	395
6. BIBLIOGRAFÍA	397

AUDIO FEEDBACK IN HIGHER EDUCATION: THE CASE OF AN ONLINE ENGLISH-MEDIUM INSTRUCTION COURSE

MERCEDES QUEROL-JULIÁN¹

Previous studies have showed that effective assessment feedback has to be clear, specific, and individualised. However, difficulty appears to provide large groups with effective feedback. Audio feedback seems to be a reasonable alternative to this situation. In the last decade, this type of feedback has received the scholars' attention; yet, the bulk of this research has been the study of teachers' and learners' perceptions of audio feedback in comparison with written feedback. In this chapter, a different perspective has been adopted. The study explores what makes effective the audio feedback used in an online university course in a non-English speaking country where English is used as a lingua franca. The research has focused on the performance of a teacher. First, the learners' perception of the effectiveness of this type of feedback has been measured; then, the discourse structure and the metadiscourse used by the teacher have been analysed. Results have shown that in general audio feedback was preferred, being perceived as outstanding the clarity of the explanations, and as particularly relevant some features of teaching with emotional intelligence –such as teacher emotional support and social presence–. The analysis of 360 recordings of audio feedback has revealed the recurrent use of some structural and metalinguistic patterns showing characteristics of affective speech. The results of the discourse analysis would be interesting for online English-medium instruction (EMI) lecturers, who are novice in the use of audio feedback or that want to improve its effectiveness.

1. INTRODUCTION

In education, feedback on assessment tasks is essential in orienting learners to learning and can influence their achievement, motivation, development, and future performance. Despite the abundant literature on feedback, there is still little consensus about what should be included to enhance the effectiveness of assessment feedback. Ryan et al. (2016) reviewed the advantages and challenges associated with digitally recorded feedback. They showed that audio feedback –in comparison with written feedback– provides more detail and clarity, is faster or just as efficient to create, is perceived as more individualised, learners feel stronger connection with their teachers or stronger social presence of teachers, and learners find it engaging; yet,

¹ Mercedes Querol-Julián is an Associate Professor in the Department of English teaching at the Universidad Internacional de La Rioja (Spain).

7. BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES

- Bourgault, A. M., Mundy, C., and Joshua, T. (2013). Comparison of audio vs. written feedback on clinical assignments of nursing students. *Nursing Education Perspectives*, 34(1), 43-46. <http://doi.org/10.5480/1536-5026-34.1.43>
- Broadbent, J. (2018). Large class teaching: How does one go about the task of moderating large volumes of assessment?. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 19(2), 173-185. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787417721360>
- Cavanaugh, A. J. and Song, L. (2014). Audio feedback versus written feedback: instructors' and students' perspectives. *Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, 10(1), 122-138.
- Dafouz, E. and Smit, U. (2016). Towards a dynamic conceptual framework for English-medium education in multilingual university settings. *Applied Linguistics*, 37(3), 397-415. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amu034>.
- Heimbürger, A. and Isomöttönen, V. (2017, October 18-21). *Moderating cultural effects in a higher e-education context?: supervisor's tone of voice in recorded audio feedback*. 2017 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE). <https://doi.org/10.1109/FIE.2017.8190646>
- Knauf, H. (2016). Reading, listening and feeling: audio feedback as a component of an inclusive learning culture at universities. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 41(3), 442-449. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2015.1021664>
- Macaro, E. (2018). *English medium instruction*. Oxford University Press.
- Martin, F., Ahlgrim-Delzell, L., and Budhrani, K. (2017). Systematic review of two decades (1995 to 2014) of research on synchronous online learning. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 31(1), 3-19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08923647.2017.1264807>
- Morris, C. and Chikwa, G. (2016). Audio versus written feedback: exploring learners' preference and the impact of feedback format on students' academic performance. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, (17), 125-137. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787416637482>
- Mortiboys, A. (2012). *Teaching with emotional intelligence: A step-by-step guide for higher and further education professionals*. Routledge.
- Nemec, E. C. and Dintzner, M. (2016). Comparison of audio versus written feedback on writing assignments. *Currents in Pharmacy Teaching and Learning*, 8(2), 155-159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cptl.2015.12.009>
- Querol-Julián, M. and Crawford Camiciottoli, B. (2019). The impact of online technologies and English medium instruction on university lectures in international learning contexts: a systematic review. *ESP Today*, 7(1), 2-23. <https://doi.org/10.18485/esptoday.2019.7.1.1>
- Ryan, T., Henderson, M., and Phillips, M. (2016, November 27 - December 1). "Written feedback doesn't make sense": *Enhancing assessment feedback using technologies*. Australian Association for Research in Education (AARE) Conference. <https://tinyurl.com/y2x3geqj>