

Article

Heritage Education of Memory: Gamification to Raise Awareness of the Cultural Heritage of War

Pablo Rosser ^{1,*}  and Seila Soler ² ¹ Faculty of Education, International University of La Rioja, 26006 Logroño, Spain² Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Isabel I University, 09003 Burgos, Spain; seilaixa.soler@ui1.es

* Correspondence: pablo.rosser@unir.net

Abstract: This study focused on the use of gamification, specifically the UrbanGame activity, to enhance heritage education related to the bombings of the Spanish Civil War in Alicante. The research aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of active methodologies in raising cultural heritage awareness among university students, particularly those in primary and secondary teacher training programs. The methods included the design and implementation of the UrbanGame, followed by surveys to collect quantitative and qualitative data on students' perceptions, satisfaction, and learning outcomes. The results indicated that meaningful learning showed a positive trend towards content comprehension, and that motivation and concentration significantly influenced learning outcomes. Additionally, the UrbanGame activity promoted memory and cultural heritage by emotionally connecting students with local history, providing future teachers with innovative pedagogical tools for their teaching.

Keywords: heritage education; gamification; Spanish Civil War air-raid shelters



Citation: Rosser, P.; Soler, S. Heritage Education of Memory: Gamification to Raise Awareness of the Cultural Heritage of War. *Heritage* **2024**, *7*, 3960–3996. <https://doi.org/10.3390/heritage7080187>

Academic Editors: Olaia Fontal-Merillas, Alex Ibañez-Etxeberria and Pablo De Castro Martín

Received: 23 June 2024

Revised: 18 July 2024

Accepted: 22 July 2024

Published: 30 July 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

This research falls within the field of heritage education, specifically focusing on the use of active methodologies, including gamification, in the teaching–learning process. Gamification, defined as the integration of game design elements into non-game contexts, has been increasingly recognized for its potential to enhance engagement and learning outcomes. Serious games, a subset of gamification, are designed with objectives that extend beyond mere entertainment. These games are employed to teach, train, simulate real-life situations, or convey information interactively.

Currently, there is a growing interest among educators in implementing pedagogical approaches in classrooms that promote active student participation, foster their autonomy, and enhance meaningful learning while raising awareness about the importance of cultural heritage (Figure 1). The UrbanGame, examined in this study, is a form of serious game designed to raise awareness about the bombings of the Spanish Civil War in the city of Alicante (Spain). UrbanGames are designed to be played in urban environments such as cities or communities but can also be conducted in classrooms on paper or with electronic devices, as is the case in our study. Their primary goal is to engage players in educational, social, or cultural activities while they explore and interact with the urban environment or its representations on maps.

This study particularly examines the UrbanGame activity, which aims to create immersive and interactive learning experiences that not only inform but also emotionally connect students with the historical and cultural heritage of their local environment. By employing a combination of collaborative work, meaningful learning, problem-based learning, discovery learning, concentration, and motivational strategies, the study involved 122 students from primary and secondary education programs. The methods included the design and implementation of the UrbanGame, followed by surveys to collect quantitative

and qualitative data on students' perceptions, satisfaction, and learning outcomes. The results indicated that while collaborative work did not have a significant impact on content comprehension, skill acquisition, or value acquisition, meaningful learning showed a positive trend towards content comprehension. Problem-based learning (PBL) had a weak but notable relationship with content comprehension, while motivation and concentration significantly influenced learning outcomes. Additionally, the UrbanGame activity promoted memory and cultural heritage by emotionally connecting students with local history. The findings suggest that an integrated approach that combines various active methodologies is essential for maximizing educational effectiveness and cultural promotion.



Figure 1. Bombing of 17 May 1938. In Ufficio Storico dell'Aeronautica Militare. Fondo Operazione Militare Spagna., Rome (USAM). Fons Operazione Militare Spagna (OMS), Series 2, Subseries 7, Folder 30. "Obiettivi vari".

The integration of gamification in educational contexts aims to leverage game mechanics, aesthetics, and strategies to engage students, encourage action, promote learning, and solve problems. This approach is particularly relevant in heritage education, where traditional methods may fail to fully engage students or convey the emotional and cultural significance of historical events. By employing gamified activities like the UrbanGame, we aim to create immersive and interactive learning experiences that not only inform but also emotionally connect students with the historical and cultural heritage of their local environment.

Currently, there is a growing interest among educators in implementing pedagogical approaches in classrooms that promote active student participation, foster their autonomy, and enhance meaningful learning while raising awareness about the importance of cultural heritage. In our case, we designed the game specifically for students in primary and secondary teacher training programs. Our objective was for these future teachers to replicate the UrbanGame activity in their own classrooms to teach about cultural heritage and history in an interactive and memorable way. The expected learning outcomes included a greater understanding of the historical context of the Spanish Civil War, collaborative work skills, and an emotional connection with local heritage, specifically related to air-raid shelters and bombings in Alicante. This approach not only aimed to enrich the historical knowledge of the students but also to equip future teachers with innovative pedagogical tools for their teaching.

The subsequent visit to the network of preserved air-raid shelters in the city (eight of which are open to the public) included sound recreations of the bombings in some of them, along with detailed explanations at the War Interpretation Center in Alicante. This visit aimed to complement the UrbanGame activity by providing an immersive, on-site learning experience. The involvement of students in conducting their own activities in the air-raid shelters, such as organizing guided tours and educational workshops, further enriched their understanding and connection to the historical events. Additionally, the creation of a comic related to one of the most terrible bombings on the city targeted young people, aiming to raise awareness and educate them about this significant event in an engaging way. These activities not only promoted the cultural heritage of war memory but also provided future teachers with practical, hands-on experiences that they could replicate in their classrooms.

The teaching of history in primary education and the innovation in the teaching of economics in the secondary teacher training master's program are two critical areas for the education of future teachers. Therefore, we selected students from these programs to analyze the experience (122 students in total). It is also crucial to investigate the effectiveness of active methodologies in these subjects and explore how variables such as gender and age may influence students' perception, appreciation, execution, and motivation towards these activities. Nevertheless, it is essential to investigate the effectiveness of active methodologies in these subjects and to explore how variables such as gender and age may influence students' perception, appreciation, execution, and motivation towards these activities.

1.1. Gamification and UrbanGame

Gamification is defined as the integration of game design elements into non-game contexts [1]. According to Zichermann and Cunningham [2], this approach focuses on leveraging game mechanics, aesthetics, and strategies to engage people, encourage action, promote learning, and solve problems [3]. Serious games, a subset of gamification, are designed with objectives that extend beyond mere entertainment. These games are employed to teach, train, simulate real-life situations, or convey information interactively. They encompass a wide range of topics and can be utilized in various fields, such as education, healthcare, defense, and industry [4–20].

Qian and Clark [21] describe game-based learning as “an environment where game content and gameplay enhance the acquisition of knowledge and skills, and where game activities involve problem-solving spaces and challenges that provide players/students with a sense of achievement” (p. 51). In history classes, it is essential to go beyond a superficial examination of facts and figures, maps, and names [22].

History represents humanity's journey through time, and students must understand the motives, perspectives, and agendas behind the actions and events of the past. This involves combining the recall and comprehension of facts (didactic practice) with analytical reflection (based on reason) and affective reflection (based on values and emotions) [23]. This simulation proposal aims to analyze the experiences of minorities (men, women, children, and refugees) in times of war, interweaving daily life with military strategies.

Serious games, also known as educational or purposeful games, are those designed with objectives that extend beyond mere entertainment [24–32]. These games are employed to teach, train, simulate real-life situations, or convey information interactively [33]. Serious games encompass a wide range of topics and can be utilized in various fields. Michael and Chen [28] consider serious games as educational and training tools.

The so-called UrbanGame can be considered a type of serious game. Their primary goal is to engage players in educational, social, or cultural activities while they explore and interact with the urban environment or its representations on maps [34–37]. These games typically combine playful elements with the acquisition of knowledge, the promotion of collaboration, the enhancement of social skills, and awareness of specific issues related to inhabited spaces. They may address topics such as the evolution of human populations over territories, urban challenges like sustainability, urban planning, local history, architecture, street art, or the resolution of community problems.

The UrbanGame uses game structures to motivate and engage participants while providing them with an interactive and meaningful experience in the urban environment. They often employ digital technologies, such as mobile applications or geolocation systems, to guide players and provide relevant information during the game. Larissa et al. [38], for instance, discuss mobile media, art, games, and social movements. In this context, they analyze how mobile games, including UrbanGames, utilize digital technologies to guide players and offer relevant information during gameplay, enhancing the interactive experience of participants in the urban environment.

By integrating educational and social elements into the gaming experience, the UrbanGame aligns with the definition of a serious game, as it serves a purpose beyond pure entertainment, aiming to promote learning, awareness, or active participation in issues related to the urban environment.

1.2. Literature Review of Learning Strategies

It is necessary to establish a theoretical foundation for the learning strategies discussed in the study (collaborative work, meaningful learning, problem-based learning, discovery learning, concentration, and motivation). For example, collaborative work is a learning strategy that promotes cooperation among students to achieve common goals. According to Johnson and Johnson [39], collaborative learning significantly enhances student engagement and academic performance. This methodology allows students to develop essential social and communication skills [40].

Meaningful learning, proposed by Ausubel [41], focuses on connecting new information with prior knowledge, facilitating deeper and more lasting understanding. Novak [42] argues that meaningful learning is crucial for knowledge retention and practical application. This strategy is particularly relevant in heritage education, where emotional and cognitive connections to historical material are essential [43].

Problem-based learning (PBL) is a methodology that engages students in solving complex real-world problems. Barrows and Tamblyn [44] contend that PBL promotes critical thinking and problem-solving skills. Schmidt et al. [45] have demonstrated that PBL enhances motivation and academic performance, making it an effective tool in teacher education.

Discovery learning, advocated by Bruner [46], encourages students to explore and discover concepts on their own. This strategy fosters curiosity and autonomy, allowing students to acquire knowledge through direct experience [47]. In the context of the UrbanGame, discovery learning enables students to actively interact with the historical environment of Alicante.

Concentration is fundamental to effective learning. Studies by Posner and Petersen [48] indicate that the ability to concentrate is directly related to academic performance. Methods that promote a high level of concentration, such as serious games, can significantly improve educational outcomes [49].

Motivation is a key factor in the learning process. Deci and Ryan [50] highlight the importance of intrinsic motivation for academic success. Gamification and other active

methodologies have been shown to increase student motivation by making learning more engaging and relevant [1].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Design and Preparation of the Simulation

The design and preparation of the simulation were based on several crucial components: a map of the city of Alicante, narratives and rounds, the identification of targets, the placement of anti-aircraft defenses, the localization of bombings, and the creation of air-raid shelters. Each of these elements was essential to ensure an effective and realistic simulation that enabled students to explore various historical aspects and reflect on their contemporary relevance.

A size A0 map of the city of Alicante was used as the game board for the simulation activity. Students were organized into pairs or trios and assigned a map divided into neighborhoods identified by different colors. This division aimed to facilitate localization and exploration of various areas of the city, helping students to better understand the historical events that took place during the Spanish Civil War. The color-coded division also allowed for easy identification and orientation within the map (Figure 2).





Figure 2. Map divided into neighborhoods of the city of Alicante (own creation).

A narrative was designed based on the historical events experienced in Alicante during the Civil War, grounded in historical documentation and reference literature [51–53]. From this historical narrative, 21 rounds were established for the simulation. Each round presented a historical context introduced through an explanatory video, including maps of the era, archival photos, and other resources. The video was paused at each round to allow students to perform a series of actions on the map using a timer (Figure 3).

URBAN GAME

The civil war in Alicante



Sept 1936

military objectives


☒ SUMMARY OF OBJECTIVES FOR THE URBAN GAME 1.

- ☐ 1. Infantry Barracks. Red hospital. (Benalua)
- ☐ 2. CNTFAI Barracks Deposit of weapons and supplies (former convent of the Nuns of the Blood).
- ☐ 3. Unified Militia Headquarters and its arsenal. (Campoamor)
- ☐ 4. Deposit of provisions and weapons of the FAI (Baron Finestrat)
- ☐ 5. (Deputation and Civil Government). - Weapons depot and Assault Guards barracks. Machine guns in the terraces.
- ☐ 6. Military Command. (Constitution Avenue)
- ☐ 7. Gasoline pipelines from ships to tanks (Port)
- ☐ 8. Lighthouse (Port)
- ☐ 9. Oil refinery. (Avd. Oscar Espla)
- ☐ 10. Gasoline deposits and refinery (British).
- ☐ 11. Anti-aircraft defenses and Adult Reformatory. (Courts)
- ☐ 12. Telegraphs. (Altamira Street)
- ☐ 13. Town Hall.
- ☐ 14. Submarine anchorage. (Standbrook Monument)
- ☐ 15. Power Plant. (Industries)
- ☐ 16. Santa Barbara Castle.
- ☐ 17. San Fernando Castle.
- ☐ 18. Madrid Station.
- ☐ 19. Murcia Station.
- ☐ 20. Navy Station.
- ☐ 21. Rabasa Airfield.
- ☐ 22. Bullring.
- ☐ 23. Tobacco.
- ☐ 24. Socorro House. (Construction Avenue)

Ronda 01

INSTRUCTIONS

- Number the different objectives, they are marked in green.
- At the end take 1 photo



URBAN GAME

The civil war in Alicante

Ronda 02

1st PHASE (July 36/Mar. 37). OFFENSIVE ON MADRID

FECHA	ACONTECIMIENTO	ZONA AFECTADA
1ª FASE (Julio 36/Mar. 37) 5 noviembre 1936	1º Bombardeo sobre Alicante por 3 aviones Italianos desde las Baleares, a las 4 de la madrugada. Arrojan 7 bombas. Murieron 2 personas, y otra quedó herida.	PUERTO: 3 bombas cayeron al mar, y otras 2 cerca de un barco mercante. CENTRO: 2 delante del Banco Hispano y cerca Hotel Victoria, junto a la Explanada.

Ronda 03

1st PHASE (July 36/Mar. 37). OFFENSIVE ON MADRID


We continue...

FECHA	ACONTECIMIENTO	ZONA AFECTADA
1ª FASE (Julio 36/Mar. 37) 28 noviembre 1936	2º Bombardeo sobre Alicante por 16 aviones alemanes, Junkers de la Legión Cóndor nazi, desde las 19h hasta las 3 de la madrugada. Cayeron la espectacular cifra de 160 bombas (alrededor de 19/20 toneladas de bombas de fragmentación, perforantes e incendiarias), las cuales mataron a 3 ciudadanos e hirieron a 36.	INDUSTRIAS: incendian la Campsa de Babel, ardiendo 3.000.000 de litros de gasolina.

Ronda 04

November 36

August 37 Anti-aircraft defenses and reflectors.



FLAK IN ALICANTE
0 = Flak, 1 = Schiesswerfer, 2 = etc.

ALICANTE

Plano Alicante: defensas antiaéreas y reflectores de Alicante.

Figure 3. Explanatory brochures for students when conducting the UrbanGame. Own creation.

From this historical narrative, 21 rounds were established for the simulation. Each round presented a historical context introduced through an explanatory video, including maps of the era, archival photos, and other resources. The video was paused at each round to allow students to perform a series of actions on the map using a timer.

The simulation was structured into four phases: Nazi spies studied the area to establish targets, Republican institutions organized anti-aircraft defenses and spotlights, bombings

were carried out on military and civilian targets, and air-raid shelters were created by passive defense (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Documents and maps of supposed military targets in Alicante, drawn by Nazi spies in 1936. Archivo General Militar de Ávila (AGMAV) C 2126.2/13).

During the Civil War, Alicante suffered numerous bombings that caused significant damage to military and civilian targets [52–54]. Bombings that impacted military elements and civilian buildings were selected, with special attention to the bombing of the central market, as it was the most severe among those suffered. This approach helps students better understand the scale and impact of the bombings on the city (Figure 5).



Figure 5. Aerial photograph of the devastating bombing on 12 February 1939. In USAM. OMS. Busta 32: “Obiettivi vari. Raccolta n. 3”.

Rectangular stickers will be used to identify air-raid shelters. Students will utilize a dossier that outlines the data for each round and a supplementary sheet where they will record the number of bombs, casualties, and injuries (Figure 6). This method allows them to maintain a detailed record of the bombing consequences and comprehend the importance of having air-raid shelters during the Civil War.



Figure 6. Map with stickers, notepad, and game sheet of the UrbanGame during the validation sessions.

The target audience consisted of teacher training students, and we intended for them to replicate this methodology in their future classrooms. Students were required to identify the locations of anti-aircraft defenses and Republican spotlights on the map using a red marker, imitating the original map (Figure 6).

2.2. Validation with Faculty and Activity Design for Students

Currently, educators have the opportunity to implement active methodologies in the classroom, especially when educational regulations require it. In a training course for primary and secondary school teachers, its effectiveness was evaluated through a satisfaction survey and a dialogue among participants. The positive feedback from the attending teachers regarding the content acquisition process was essential for identifying improvements in the activity [55] (Figure 7).

Based on the results obtained from the training course, a methodological proposal was developed to gather detailed information on student performance in the proposed simulation and their perception of it. This proposal includes a specific design for data collection and student evaluation, with the aim of academic improvement and adaptation of the activity to the needs and preferences of the students (Figure 8).




Figure 7. Faculty conducting the game during the validation sessions of the UrbanGame.

For data recording in the simulation, the approach by Franciose and Mehrieng [56] was followed, adapting it to the specific needs of this research. Additionally, the questionnaire was developed following the six-phase procedure described by Thiagaraja [57] and the themes proposed by Kriz and Nöbauer [58]. Data recording was carried out in three phases: game recording, theoretical test, and Google Form questionnaire. This phase aims to evaluate the activities and performance during the game. Students will take photographs of the urban map after each round to document its evolution and destruction. Subsequently, a video will be created to visualize the evolution and destruction of the urban layout. The questionnaire aims to assess knowledge acquisition in different areas or phases [57,58].

- Students will be asked to express their emotions, which may vary from empathy, anxiety, anguish, or calm during different moments of the activity, such as bombings, passive defense, construction or absence of shelters, and the threat of espionage.
- The dynamics and roles that have emerged will be evaluated, such as the emergence of a natural leader, the adoption of different roles, the prevalence of certain actions over others, reading documents, moments of dialogue and confrontation of ideas, competitiveness, or passivity.
- The activity also helps students better understand the reality around them, including situations involving women, children, the elderly, or refugees. It will be evaluated whether students empathize more from everyday or institutional perspectives and how they apply what they have learned to real life by learning more about the neighborhoods and urban layout of their city.


Fateful day: Central Market bombing, resulting in 300 deaths. The NYT opens its front page the next day with this news. It is the deadliest bombing, at once, that occurred in the entire Civil War.

Round 1




The 24 targets in the city of Alicante that could be bombed are marked.

Round 2



First bombing of the city of Alicante.

Round 13-14-15



Bombs in several areas of the city. Target civilian population and Rabasa (to destroy small planes and trimotors)

Round 16




Figure 8. Evolution, focusing on the first and last rounds, of the UrbanGame on the bombings during the Spanish Civil War in Alicante, conducted by some university students. In this case, stickers have been replaced by icons referring to military targets, bombings, and the construction of air-raid shelters.

- During the simulation, students should identify several learning elements, such as the phases of the war, the massive arrival of refugees, escape by boats, food shortages, and bombings of civilian buildings.
- Students will speculate about different hypothetical scenarios to consolidate historical causality processes. For example, they might be asked what would have happened if the sirens had sounded during the bombing of Alicante’s central market or if the ship Stambrook had not arrived at Alicante’s port to save the last citizens of the Spanish Republic.
- It is crucial that students understand that situations similar to those simulated can recur in real life. This is the moment to empathize and reflect on behaviors in comparable real-life situations, allowing them to make informed decisions in the future.

The theoretical test is designed to evaluate knowledge acquisition through five multiple-choice questions and two open-ended questions.

2.3. Participants

The study included students of both genders from two different groups. On the one hand, there were third-year primary education students taking the history/geography didactics course. On the other hand, secondary teacher training master’s students enrolled in the innovation in economics teaching course were included. The choice of these groups was motivated primarily because they are students in training to become future teachers in educational centers. Additionally, these specific subjects were taught by one of the research authors (Dr. Rosser), providing an opportunity to implement the study within these courses. Diversity in terms of age was considered, covering different developmental stages.

The sample consisted of 122 students, with 48 enrolled in geography didactics, 50 in history didactics, and 24 in the master’s course. Participant selection was comprehensive, including all students enrolled in these subjects (Table 1).

Table 1. Distribution of participants by subject, gender, and age range.

Subject	Total Frequency	Men	Women	Age Range 18–25	Age Range 26–35	Age Range 36–45	Age Range 46–55
Master Secondary Innovation in Economy	24	6	18	8	11	4	1
Didactics of Social Sciences—History	48	7	41	47	1	0	0
Didactics of Social Sciences—Geography	50	11	39	49	0	1	0
Totals	122	24	98	104	12	5	1

2.4. Data Collection Instruments

To collect information on students’ perception, appreciation, performance, motivation, cognition, emotion, and satisfaction, surveys adapted specifically for this study were used. These surveys included questions designed to obtain quantitative responses on various aspects of the implemented activities, such as the perception of usefulness, level of participation, degree of satisfaction, and experienced motivation. Additionally, relevant sociodemographic data such as participants’ gender and age were collected. Additionally, questions were asked about Learning Objectives and Learning Strategies in relation to the experience of conducting the UrbanGame. These aspects will be addressed, at least in part, in this study (Appendix A).

2.5. Procedure

Initially, an exhaustive literature review was conducted to support the selection and design of the active methodologies to be implemented in history didactics and innovation

in economics teaching classes. Once the activities were designed and implemented, surveys were applied to the participating students. As mentioned, the surveys were conducted immediately after the activities to collect immediate and relevant information about the students' experience.

2.6. Data Analysis

The collected data were analyzed qualitatively and statistically using appropriate techniques for qualitative and quantitative data analysis. Specific analyses were conducted to evaluate differences in perception, appreciation, performance, and motivation according to participants' gender and age. Additionally, possible relationships between these variables were explored, and relevant patterns or trends in the obtained results were identified.

2.7. Ethical Considerations

Confidentiality and anonymity of the participants were guaranteed by assigning numerical identifiers instead of their real names. All data were treated confidentially and used exclusively for research purposes. Additionally, informed consent was obtained from the participants, and ethical protocols were followed.

The surveys completed by the students have been previously approved by the Ethics Committee of the International University of La Rioja (UNIR) and validated by a group of university professionals, as well as in other works conducted by the authors of this publication.

2.8. Data Collection Procedure

The data collection procedure was conducted in several stages to ensure the quality and validity of the results. First, students in each course where activities were conducted were asked for informed consent. Next, activities with active methodologies were designed and implemented in history didactics classes in primary education and the innovation in economics teaching course in the secondary teacher training master's program. These activities were tailored to the study's objectives and the students' needs and characteristics. After completing the activities, cognition, emotion, and satisfaction surveys were administered electronically through Google Forms, Google LLC, Mountain View, CA, USA, ensuring confidentiality. Once data were collected, qualitative and statistical analyses were conducted with Atlas.ti (ATLAS.ti Scientific Software Development GmbH, Berlin, Germany) and SPSS version 28 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) respectively. The results were then interpreted to identify patterns, trends, and significant relationships between the studied variables. Comparisons were made by gender and age to identify possible differences in students' perception, appreciation, performance, and motivation.

2.9. Design and Development of the UrbanGame

The UrbanGame was developed with the primary objective of creating an engaging and immersive educational tool that could be used to teach historical and cultural heritage in a meaningful way. The design process involved several key steps. The first step was to identify the educational goals of the game, which included enhancing students' understanding of the historical context of the Spanish Civil War, particularly the bombings in Alicante, and fostering skills such as collaboration, critical thinking, and empathy. Next, we conducted extensive research on the historical events to ensure accuracy and depth in the game content. This involved studying historical documents, photographs, and maps from archives, as well as consulting experts in history and education.

The game was structured into multiple phases, each representing different aspects of the historical events. These phases included identifying targets, organizing defenses, experiencing bombings, and creating shelters. The game mechanics were designed to be interactive and engaging, utilizing elements such as maps, tokens, and role-playing scenarios. One of our key considerations was to integrate principles of critical pedagogy. This approach aimed to not only educate students about historical facts but also to help them develop a critical understanding of these events and draw parallels with contempo-

rary issues. Activities were designed to provoke reflection and discussion, encouraging students to empathize with the people affected by the bombings and to consider current global conflicts.

We created a variety of supporting materials, including detailed maps, explanatory videos, historical narratives, and instructional guides for facilitators. These materials were essential to provide context and to guide the students through the game. The game was piloted with small groups of educators to gather feedback and identify areas for improvement. This iterative process allowed us to refine the game mechanics, narratives, and instructional materials to enhance the overall learning experience.

We trained future teachers on how to implement the UrbanGame in their own classrooms. This training included workshops and demonstration sessions where the educators could experience the game firsthand and learn how to facilitate it effectively. The UrbanGame not only aimed to inform but also to emotionally engage students, helping them develop a deeper connection to the historical and cultural heritage of their local environment. By providing a blueprint for the game's design and development, we hope to inspire other educators to create similar educational tools tailored to their own local contexts.

The visual blueprint includes several key components. An A0-size map of Alicante is divided into color-coded neighborhoods to facilitate localization and exploration. The historical narrative is based on documented events, with 21 rounds featuring explanatory videos, archival photos, and period maps. The game phases include Nazi Espionage, where targets are identified on the map; Republican Defenses, which involves organizing defenses and spotlights; Bombings, simulating bombings on military and civilian targets; and Shelter Creation, where air-raid shelters are established.

3. Results

3.1. Student Opinions on the Relationship between Learning Strategies and the UrbanGame Activity

To analyze student opinions regarding the relationship between learning strategies in the UrbanGame activity, it is essential to understand the collected data and the provided descriptive statistics. The evaluated learning strategies included Collaborative Work, Meaningful Learning, Problem-Based Learning, Discovery Learning, Concentration, and Motivation. The highest means were observed in "Motivation" and "Concentration", suggesting that these strategies were the most valued by students (Table 2).

Table 2. Mean and standard deviation of learning strategies evaluated in the UrbanGame activity.

Strategy	Mean	Std. Deviation
Collaborative Work	4.1042	2.6194
Meaningful Learning	4.7083	2.46644
Problem-Based Learning	4.1875	2.25649
Discovery Learning	4.3958	2.40336
Oral Expression	3.0625	2.83148
Motivation	5.0625	2.55498
Concentration	5.5833	1.91115

In our research context, the median and variance provide valuable perspectives on the distribution and dispersion of scores across different learning strategies evaluated using UrbanGame. The median indicates the central value of each dataset, showing that the strategies of Collaborative Work, Meaningful Learning, Problem-Based Learning, and Discovery Learning have medians of 4. This suggests that, in general, scores tend to cluster around this value, reflecting a positive but not outstanding perception in these areas. In contrast, the strategies of Concentration and Motivation have medians of 7, indicating that they are highly valued.

Variance measures the dispersion of scores around the mean. Concentration presents the lowest variance (3.652), indicating that scores are more consistent and less dispersed around the mean. This reinforces the idea that UrbanGame is particularly effective in

uniformly improving concentration among students. Collaborative Work shows the highest variance (6.861), suggesting significant variability in responses, indicating notable differences in how students perceive the collaborative work facilitated by UrbanGame. The variances of the other strategies range between 5.092 and 6.528, reflecting moderate dispersion of scores, suggesting variability in student perceptions but not as extreme as in the case of Collaborative Work.

In summary, these results show that UrbanGame is particularly effective and consistently perceived as beneficial for concentration, while effectiveness in other areas such as Collaborative Work, Meaningful Learning, and Problem-Based Learning is perceived more variably among students (Table 3).

Table 3. Median, standard deviation, and variance of learning strategies evaluated in the UrbanGame activity.

Strategy	Median	Std. Deviation	Variance
Collaborative Work	4.0000	2.61940	6.861
Meaningful Learning	4.5000	2.46644	6.083
Problem-Based Learning	4.0000	2.25649	5.092
Discovery Learning	4.0000	2.40336	5.776
Motivation	7.0000	2.55498	6.528
Concentration	7.0000	1.91115	3.652

Standard deviation, as a measure of data dispersion around the mean, provides an understanding of how student scores vary for each learning strategy evaluated with UrbanGame.

The lowest standard deviation is observed in Concentration, with a value of 1.91115. This low standard deviation indicates that student scores in Concentration are tightly clustered around the mean. This reinforces the idea that UrbanGame is uniformly perceived as effective in improving concentration, as student responses do not vary significantly.

Conversely, the highest standard deviation is found in Collaborative Work, with a value of 2.61940. This high standard deviation suggests significant variability in student responses regarding the effectiveness of UrbanGame in enhancing collaborative work. Some students may find UrbanGame very useful for improving collaborative work, while others may not perceive the same level of effectiveness.

The strategies of Meaningful Learning, Problem-Based Learning, and Discovery Learning have intermediate standard deviations, ranging from 2.25649 to 2.55498. These values indicate moderate dispersion of scores around the mean, suggesting mixed student perceptions of the effectiveness of UrbanGame in these areas, with notable but not extreme variability.

The table of frequency percentages for UrbanGame regarding learning strategies reflects the relative effectiveness of different strategies in relation to the UrbanGame activity. The Concentration strategy has the highest frequency at 27.1%, suggesting that UrbanGame significantly promotes students' ability to maintain attention and focus on assigned tasks.

Collaborative Work and Meaningful Learning have a frequency of 22.9%, indicating that UrbanGame also fosters student cooperation and facilitates the connection of new knowledge with prior experiences, which is essential for deep and lasting learning. The 20.8% frequency in Problem-Based Learning highlights UrbanGame's ability to encourage critical thinking and problem solving, indispensable skills in modern education.

On the other hand, Discovery Learning has a frequency of 10.4%, showing that although this strategy is less frequent, it is still relevant in the UrbanGame context, supporting exploration and self-learning. Motivation, with 6.3%, although less prominent, suggests that UrbanGame contributes to maintaining student interest and willingness to learn.

Overall, the data suggest that UrbanGame is a versatile tool that supports various learning strategies, being especially effective in enhancing concentration and promoting collaborative and meaningful methods (Table 4).

Table 4. Percentage distribution of learning strategies in the UrbanGame activity.

Learning Strategy	Percentage
Collaborative Work	22.9%
Meaningful Learning	22.9%
Problem-Based Learning	20.8%
Discovery Learning	10.4%
Motivation	6.3%
Concentration	27.1%

3.2. Non-Parametric Correlations in Strategies and Their Relationship to Learning Objectives

The data analysis is based on the Kruskal–Wallis Test, a non-parametric test that evaluates whether there are statistically significant differences between multiple groups of an independent variable with respect to an ordinal or continuous dependent variable that is not normally distributed. In this context, three key areas in the UrbanGame are examined: content comprehension, skill acquisition, and value acquisition, in relation to different learning strategies.

3.2.1. Analysis of Content Comprehension

The following groups were analyzed: Collaborative Work, Meaningful Learning, Problem-Based Learning, Discovery Learning, Oral Expression, Motivation, and Concentration. The learning strategies of Meaningful Learning and Problem-Based Learning show significant differences among the levels of comprehension, suggesting that students with higher content comprehension value these strategies more. The other strategies do not show significant differences (Figure 9, Table 5).


**Figure 9.** Kruskal–Wallis H by group (understanding content).

Table 5. Kruskal–Wallis test results for content comprehension levels.

Strategy	H	<i>p</i> -Value	Significance
Collaborative Work	3.076	0.079	No significant differences
Meaningful Learning	3.893	0.048	Significant difference, favors level 5
Problem-Based Learning	5.574	0.018	Significant difference, favors level 5
Discovery Learning	1.778	0.182	No significant differences
Oral Expression	0.976	0.323	No significant differences
Motivation	0.575	0.448	No significant differences
Concentration	1.451	0.228	No significant differences

3.2.2. Other Key Areas

However, in the analysis of skill acquisition, none of the learning strategies show significant differences in skill acquisition across different levels. Similarly, there are no significant differences in the analysis of value acquisition.

3.2.3. Practical Relevance of Significant Differences in Content Comprehension

In the analysis of Meaningful Learning, an H statistic of 3.893 and a *p*-value of 0.048 were obtained, indicating a significant difference in content comprehension. This strategy favored students who valued meaningful learning more, achieving greater content comprehension, which is a positive indicator for its implementation in the educational process. The practical relevance of these strategies suggests that their implementation can have a positive impact on content comprehension, leading to better information retention and a deeper application of knowledge in practical contexts (Figure 10).




Figure 10. Practical relevance of problem-based and meaningful learning strategies in content understanding and skill development.

On the other hand, Problem-Based Learning showed an H statistic of 5.574 and a p -value of 0.018, also significant. This strategy favored students engaged in problem-based learning, enhancing their content comprehension. The practical relevance of this methodology suggests that its adoption can help students develop critical and problem-solving skills essential for the practical application of knowledge in real-world situations.

The practical relevance of the significant differences found in the strategies of Meaningful Learning and Problem-Based Learning during the UrbanGame of the Spanish Civil War (bombings in Alicante) can be evaluated in terms of several factors. Regarding knowledge retention, strategies that resulted in significant differences can improve long-term knowledge retention. The application of Meaningful Learning helps students connect new knowledge with previous experiences, facilitating better retention.

The application of knowledge is another important factor. Problem-Based Learning encourages a practical approach to problem solving, preparing students to apply knowledge in real contexts. Students who learn through problem solving can transfer their critical thinking skills to situations outside the academic environment. Additionally, the development of critical skills benefits from these strategies. Meaningful strategies not only improve content comprehension but also develop critical skills such as analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. Problem-Based Learning fosters teamwork and collaboration, valuable skills in the professional environment.

Finally, student satisfaction and motivation are crucial. Methods showing significant results can increase student motivation and engagement in the learning process. Meaningful Learning can make students find learning more relevant and satisfying (Figure 11).

The heatmap shows the significance values (p -values) for different learning strategies in three key areas: Understanding Content, Acquiring Skills, and Acquiring Values. The colors in the heatmap represent the magnitude of the p -values, ranging from blue to red, where darker colors indicate higher statistical significance (lower p -values). In the Understanding Content category, the strategies of “Meaningful Learning” and “Problem-Based Learning” show significant differences in content comprehension. This suggests that these strategies may be more effective in enhancing student understanding.

In contrast, in the areas of Acquiring Skills and Acquiring Values, none of the evaluated strategies show significant differences. This indicates that, according to the available data, there is no clear effect of these strategies on skill or value acquisition (Figure 12).




Figure 11. Practical relevance of significant differences in learning strategies.




Figure 12. Heatmap showing significant values (p -values) for different learning strategies in three key areas: content comprehension, skill acquisition, and value acquisition.

The following line graph shows the Kruskal–Wallis H -values and p -values for different learning strategies in the same three areas. In the upper panel (Figure 13a), the Kruskal–Wallis H -values by strategy and area are presented. The Kruskal–Wallis H -value measures the difference between groups, where higher values indicate a greater difference between group distributions. Each line in the graph represents one of the analyzed areas. It is observed that the strategies of “Meaningful Learning” and “Problem-Based Learning” indeed have the highest H -values in Content Comprehension. In contrast, in Acquiring Skills and Acquiring Values, the H -values are generally lower, indicating less significant differences among learning strategies (Figure 13a).



Figure 13. Line graph showing the Kruskal–Wallis H-values and *p*-values for different learning strategies in three areas: content comprehension, skill acquisition (a), and value acquisition (b).

In the lower panel (Figure 13b), the p -values by strategy and area are shown. The p -values indicate the statistical significance of the results, where a p -value less than 0.05 is considered statistically significant. The red horizontal line at 0.05 represents the significance threshold. In Understanding Content, “Meaningful Learning” ($p = 0.048$) and “Problem-Based Learning” ($p = 0.018$) are statistically significant, showing differences in content comprehension. “Collaborative Work” is close to the threshold ($p = 0.079$) but does not reach statistical significance.

In terms of Acquiring Skills, none of the strategies have p -values less than 0.05, indicating no significant differences in skill acquisition. For Acquiring Values, “Concentration” ($p = 0.059$) is close to being significant but does not reach the threshold. None of the other strategies show significant differences in this area.

3.3. Qualitative Analysis of Preferences for Learning Strategies

3.3.1. Students of the Innovation and Research in Economics Course, Master’s in Secondary Education

After conducting the previous quantitative analysis, we wanted to compare it with the qualitative analysis derived from the open-ended questions of the questionnaire completed by the students and designed for this purpose. To perform a textual analysis using the QDA method, we assigned codes and subcodes to the various themes or categories identified in the data, primarily focusing on Learning Strategies:

- Code 1: Enhancing Collaborative Work;
- Code 2: Enhancing Meaningful Learning;
- Code 3: Enhancing Problem-Based Learning;
- Code 4: Enhancing Discovery Learning;
- Code 5: Enhancing Oral Expression;
- Code 6: Enhancing Motivation;
- Code 7: Enhancing Concentration.

These codes and subcodes facilitated the classification and organization of data in a computer-assisted qualitative analysis using the QDA technique in Atlas.ti 24 software. Each case in the dataset was tagged with the corresponding codes and subcodes to reflect its specific characteristics.

Upon analyzing the provided data, several conclusions can be drawn. Generally, students show a preference for collaborative work and meaningful learning, which align with their prior knowledge and aid in better comprehension and retention. Problem-based learning is also valued for fostering critical thinking and practical application of knowledge.

There is also a widespread preference for meaningful learning, which allows students to connect new concepts with their prior knowledge, aiding in better comprehension and retention of information. In this case, it aligns with the results of the quantitative analysis. Most categories and age groups also show interest in problem-based learning, which involves students in solving real and challenging situations, fostering critical thinking and practical application of knowledge. Although discovery learning is not mentioned as frequently as the previous strategies, some individuals express interest in this approach, which focuses on allowing students to discover concepts through exploration and experimentation (Figure 14).




Figure 14. Qualitative analysis of preferences for learning strategies among students of the innovation and research in economics course, master's in secondary education.

Regarding enhancing concentration, not all categories mention this aspect consistently. Nonetheless, some individuals consider it important to foster concentration in teaching methods. In the quantitative analysis, on the other hand, it does appear as a prominent element.

Analyzing the data by age reveals some differences in preferences for learning strategies. The 18-to-25 age group shows a general preference for various learning strategies in the use of urban games (UrbanGame), primarily highlighting meaningful learning. They also mention problem-based learning and discovery learning, although concentration is not emphasized.

The 26-to-35 age group, similar to the previous group, shows interest in a wide range of learning strategies. They relate urban games (UrbanGame) with meaningful learning and discovery learning, additionally emphasizing motivation. The 36-to-45 age group seems to prefer encompassing all mentioned learning strategies, indicating that they would enhance all of them. They do not show specific preferences based on age and appear open to using different methods to promote learning. The 46-to-55 age group, like the previous group, also mentions that they would generally enhance all learning strategies.

Analyzing the data by gender reveals some differences in preferences for learning strategies. In general, women show an interest in a variety of learning strategies, mentioning meaningful learning, problem-based learning, and discovery learning as strategies to enhance. They also highlight motivation. On the other hand, men, like women, show interest in meaningful learning and discovery learning. However, oral expression and motivation are not consistently mentioned in this category.

In summary, both men and women show a preference for similar learning strategies. However, women tend to emphasize motivation more in their preferences, whereas men do not mention these aspects as consistently.

3.3.2. Students of the Didactics of History Course, Bachelor's in Primary Education

From the analysis of the provided data, several conclusions can be drawn. In the 18-to-25 age group, women seem to have a broader preference for different teaching methods, such as collaborative work, meaningful learning, problem-based learning, and discovery learning. On the other hand, men in this age group do not consistently mention a preference for specific methods. No clear differences are observed in the preference for enhancing concentration in any age or gender group (Figure 15).




Figure 15. Differences in learning strategy preferences by gender and age among students of the didactics of history course, bachelor's in primary education.

Analyzing the data by age identifies some differences in preferences for learning strategies. In the 18-to-25 age group, a general preference for all mentioned learning strategies is observed, without significant differences between men and women. In the 26-to-35 age group, there is a consistent preference for enhancing collaborative work and meaningful learning, as indicated by the quantitative analysis. In particular, men mention motivation as an important strategy. In the 36-to-45 age group, no differences in preferences for learning strategies are mentioned. Both men and women in this group indicate that all mentioned strategies are important.

Analyzing the data by gender identifies some differences in preferences for learning strategies. Women mention a variety of learning strategies, highlighting collaborative work, meaningful learning, problem-based learning, discovery learning, and the use of simulations. Additionally, they show a notable preference for discovery learning as an important strategy. In general, women exhibit an inclination towards a wide range of learning strategies (Figure 16).




Figure 16. Qualitative analysis of preferences for learning strategies by gender and age.

Men also mention various preferences in learning strategies, including collaborative work, meaningful learning, problem-based learning, and the use of simulations. They also emphasize motivation as a relevant strategy. Like women, men show a preference for a variety of learning strategies. In summary, both women and men have similar preferences regarding learning strategies, highlighting the importance of collaborative work, meaningful learning, and problem-based learning.

3.3.3. General Analysis for the Student Body

Overall, both groups show a concern for implementing strategies that promote collaborative work, meaningful learning, and student motivation. Additionally, the master's in secondary education student group places greater emphasis on problem-based learning and discovery learning (Figure 17).




Figure 17. General analysis for the student body.

3.4. Cultural Promotion of Memory Heritage following the UrbanGame Activity

After conducting the activity in the classrooms, understanding the preferences for learning strategies and objectives, and with knowledge of the real events that occurred in the city of Alicante during the Spanish Civil War, a series of street activities were organized to deepen not only knowledge but also awareness of the cultural heritage of memory (the air-raid shelters). These activities aim to empathize with the suffering experienced by women, children, and men during those terrible bombings over three hard years (more than seventy bombings occurred in the city).

To achieve this, guided tours for students (beyond those scheduled for the general public) were organized and continue to be organized. These tours allow visits to up to nine shelters throughout the city, as well as the War Interpretation Center in Alicante (Figure 18).



Figure 18. Marv Air-Raid Shelter, and Spanish and foreign students accessing the shelter before the visit.

Similarly, the aim has been for student involvement to go beyond merely visiting the air-raid shelters. One of the shelters (Marv) has been temporarily allocated to nearby Public Secondary Education Institutes for various activities related to memory: poetry recitals, debates, etc. Additionally, students studying tourism modules at IES Miguel

Hernández have been authorized to design and conduct guided tours as part of their practical training. Furthermore, these students have organized several exhibitions inside the air-raid shelter on themes related to the Spanish Civil War, World War II, the Jewish Holocaust, etc. (Figure 19).



Figure 19. Educational activities and exhibition by students of IES Miguel Hernández at the Marvá shelter.

To deepen this knowledge and raise awareness among young people, and as a powerful educational didactic tool, a comic has been created and disseminated. It narrates the events of one of the worst bombings against civilian populations in contemporary European history before World War II: the bombing of the Central Market of Alicante on 25 May 1938, by Italian fascist planes [52,54]. This attack instantly killed more than 300 people, primarily women and children who were shopping for food. The death toll rose to over a thousand in the following weeks due to the severe injuries inflicted on numerous victims

of that terrible bombing. This comic is supplemented by a model of the bombing, which is permanently installed at the aforementioned Interpretation Center (Figure 20).



Figure 20. Comic panels created with script by Pablo Rosser and drawings by Nain Sousa. Own creation.

4. Discussion

The findings from the mixed-method analysis (qualitative and quantitative) based on surveys conducted with university students after participating in the UrbanGame activity underscore the educational efficacy of this tool for both general education and heritage education in terms of learning strategies and objectives. The results indicate that while collaborative work did not significantly impact content comprehension, skill acquisition, or value acquisition, meaningful learning showed a positive trend towards content comprehension. Problem-based learning exhibited a weak but notable relationship with content comprehension, and motivation and concentration significantly influenced learning outcomes.

These findings highlight the importance of active learning methodologies in educational contexts. For instance, problem-based learning (PBL) has been shown to enhance student motivation and engagement, although its impact on content comprehension can vary [59]. Our results align with previous research, which has demonstrated that methodologies like PBL and team-based learning promote deeper learning and better knowledge retention compared to traditional methods [60].

Moreover, the impact of activity-based learning on student motivation and academic performance has been well-documented. Active learning significantly improves student motivation and concentration, leading to better academic results [61]. Tools such as problem-based learning enhance learning outcomes, scientific reasoning, and engagement among students [62].

In our study, the UrbanGame activity promoted memory and cultural heritage by emotionally connecting students with local history, providing a practical and immersive learning experience.

Therefore, integrating the UrbanGame activity in heritage education not only improves students' understanding of historical events but also enhances their empathy and emotional connection with local heritage. This approach is crucial for developing a deeper appreciation for cultural heritage and equipping future teachers with innovative pedagogical tools for their teaching. By fostering active and participatory learning, the UrbanGame supports various learning strategies, making it a versatile tool for modern education.

A study on the use of immersive storytelling in social virtual reality for historical education showed that these experiences can create a deeper emotional connection with historical events, enhancing students' understanding and memory [63]. Another article emphasizes how game design can preserve and promote cultural heritage by creating immersive experiences that not only educate but also entertain and strengthen individuals' connection with heritage and history [64]. Additionally, a study on emotional exhibitions in museums highlights that these can increase visitors' empathy and improve their heritage and history education by creating affective experiences that connect more deeply with audiences [65]. Finally, another article analyzes how interactive virtual environments that present local culture and history can help users better understand local culture and establish an emotional connection with it, which is crucial for heritage education [66].

The development of a set of complementary activities, where in many cases the students were the protagonists in promoting this memorial heritage (the shelters), reinforced the initial goal set for the UrbanGame. Regarding heritage education for young people, the measures and activities carried out highlight the importance of a multidimensional approach. This approach includes not only immersive activities like the UrbanGame but also strategies that foster meaningful learning, collaborative work, and intrinsic motivation.

A study on gamification in educational projects highlights how interactive activities and educational challenges can foster students' intrinsic motivation and active participation in cultural heritage learning. The integration of educational games has proven effective in promoting collaboration and student engagement with their cultural heritage [67]. Another article on the benefits of an immersive curriculum emphasizes that immersive activities help develop intrinsic motivation, discipline, and lifelong learning habits, resulting in a deeper and more lasting understanding of educational content [68]. Additionally, a study on educational technology explores the convergence of collaborative and game-based learning activities. The results indicate that these activities not only improve academic performance but also foster students' intrinsic motivation, promoting meaningful and effective learning [69]. Another article describes how a gamified educational project can foster collaborative learning and intrinsic motivation, highlighting the importance of integrating immersive experiences into the educational curriculum to maximize the impact on students [70].

Research on the tangible gamification of cultural heritage demonstrates that these methodologies are more collaborative, attract more visitors, and persuade participants to explore more deeply. This approach has proven effective for cultural learning about heritage artifacts, promoting a deeper understanding and appreciation of heritage [71].

Cultural heritage education has proven to be a valuable tool for engaging young people in the preservation and appreciation of their cultural heritage. Various initiatives and programs have implemented specific activities to foster this commitment. For example, the Youth Cultural Heritage Grant Program by the Alaska State Council on the Arts emphasizes teaching through cultural activities specifically designed for youth, aiming to preserve and promote Alaska's cultural heritage. This program highlights the importance of connecting young people with their cultural roots through practical and educational experiences [72]. Similarly, the Heritage Education Tool Kit developed by the Cross-Cultural Foundation of Uganda is designed to engage young people in interactive discussions about the value of cultural heritage today. This kit includes a series of activities that aim to increase young people's understanding and appreciation of cultural heritage, promoting an educational approach that integrates both theory and practice [73].

The UNESCO World Heritage Centre has also created community events that encourage young people to deepen their knowledge about cultural heritage and propose ideas for its conservation. Through workshops and other cultural activities, these events aim not only to educate but also to inspire young people to become active advocates for cultural heritage [74]. In Africa, the African World Heritage Fund has organized the event Celebrating African World Heritage Day, which includes heritage education activities designed to promote understanding and appreciation of cultural and natural heritage. These activities,

including guided tours and educational events, aim to create a deeper connection between young people and their cultural heritage [75].

Moreover, the joint project of the European Commission and UNESCO, Cultural Heritage and Education, helps schools map the existing intangible cultural heritage within their school communities. This project involves young people in the preservation and valorization of their cultural heritage, highlighting the importance of integrating these practices into the school curriculum to foster greater appreciation and respect for heritage [76].

Programs like the A Place-Based Youth Cultural Heritage Education Program, available on JSTOR, and the Youth on the Trail of World Heritage School twinning program by the Organization of World Heritage Cities, have demonstrated how heritage education can engage young people in educational activities supported by grants and school projects. These programs allow young people to explore and conserve world heritage through practical and collaborative experiences, strengthening their connection with cultural heritage [77,78].

In any case, future research should explore the long-term effects of these methodologies on student engagement and learning outcomes. Additionally, it would be valuable to investigate how these strategies can be optimized and combined to maximize their educational impact. Understanding the nuances of how different demographic factors influence the effectiveness of these active methodologies could further refine educational practices.

This study revealed notable discrepancies between quantitative and qualitative data regarding collaborative work. While quantitative data indicated a lower perceived effectiveness of collaborative work in improving content comprehension, qualitative responses highlighted a preference for this strategy among students. This suggests that although students may not always recognize immediate content comprehension benefits from collaborative work, they value the social and practical skills gained. These findings imply that collaborative learning methods may enhance long-term skills such as teamwork, communication, and problem solving, which are crucial for future teachers.

To address these discrepancies, we propose the integration of reflective activities where students can explicitly connect collaborative experiences with learning outcomes. For example, after collaborative tasks, facilitating discussions or reflective journals where students articulate what they learned and how they applied it can bridge the gap between perceived and actual learning benefits.

Furthermore, the significant role of motivation and concentration in influencing learning outcomes highlights the need for teaching strategies that actively engage students. Incorporating elements of gamification, as demonstrated by the UrbanGame, can enhance these factors, promoting a more engaging and effective learning environment. These insights are vital for developing teaching methods that not only convey content but also foster essential skills and motivation in trainee teachers.

The analysis revealed significant differences in how male and female students approached learning strategies. These differences are significant, as they highlight varying self-perceptions and preferences in learning methodologies. For example, while both genders valued meaningful learning, women tended to emphasize motivation more, whereas men placed greater importance on problem-based learning. This suggests that female students may benefit more from teaching methods that integrate motivational elements and collaborative environments. On the other hand, male students might find problem-solving activities more engaging and beneficial.

These discrepancies indicate that a one-size-fits-all approach may not be the most effective in teacher training programs. Instead, tailored methodologies that consider gender-specific preferences can enhance learning outcomes. By incorporating a variety of strategies, such as combining motivational elements with problem-based learning, educators can create a more inclusive and effective learning environment. This approach not only addresses individual preferences but also prepares future teachers to employ diverse methods in their classrooms, fostering a richer educational experience for their students.

The UrbanGame was designed with dual objectives in mind. Firstly, it aimed to enhance the understanding of the historical events surrounding the bombings of the Spanish Civil War in Alicante for the trainee teachers themselves. Secondly, and more importantly, the game was intended to equip these future teachers with an innovative pedagogical tool that they could use in their own classrooms. By participating in the UrbanGame, these trainee teachers experienced firsthand the benefits of active learning methodologies, such as gamification, which they could then replicate to engage their students in meaningful and interactive learning experiences. The inclusion of in-person site visits to the air-raid shelters further complemented this approach by providing a tangible connection to the historical content, thereby deepening the educational impact and emotional engagement of the participants.

In conclusion, the results of our study indicated that the UrbanGame not only improved the understanding of historical events and awareness of cultural heritage, but also provided future teachers with an effective pedagogical methodology that they can apply in their own classrooms. The key learning outcomes included greater empathy and emotional connection with local heritage, improved collaborative work skills, and a deeper understanding of the impact of the Spanish Civil War in Alicante. These findings underscore the importance of integrating active and participatory methodologies in teacher training to foster a richer and more contextualized education on cultural heritage.

The inclusion of visits to the air-raid shelters was a crucial component of the overall educational experience. These visits provided a tangible and immersive context for the historical events discussed in the UrbanGame, allowing students to physically engage with the spaces and artifacts related to the bombings. This on-site work complemented the classroom activities by deepening students' emotional and cognitive connection to the material. It also demonstrated the importance of integrating experiential learning opportunities in heritage education. The hands-on activities conducted by the students in the shelters, such as leading tours and creating educational content, reinforced their learning and provided practical experience in teaching complex historical subjects. This approach not only enhanced their understanding but also prepared them to effectively use similar methods in their future classrooms.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, P.R. and S.S.; methodology, S.S.; software, P.R. and S.S.; validation, P.R. and S.S.; formal analysis, P.R.; investigation, P.R. and S.S.; resources, P.R.; data curation, P.R.; writing—original draft preparation, P.R.; writing—review and editing, P.R. and S.S.; visualization, S.S.; supervision, P.R.; project administration, P.R. and S.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: In the spirit of open scientific inquiry, the statistical data supporting the findings of this study are available upon reasonable request. These data can be accessed through the following link: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12520172>. We commit to making the research data available under conditions that do not compromise ethical standards, participant privacy, or data security. Specific data that cannot be shared publicly due to ethical restrictions, privacy concerns, or security reasons will be available to researchers who meet the criteria for confidential access. Requests for restricted data access should be directed to the corresponding author, who will facilitate the evaluation of such requests in accordance with the ethical guidelines governing this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Appendix A

POST-TEST

DATA PERSONAL

These data are ANONYMOUS, only indicative for the teacher but in no case will they be used externally, staying guaranteed the privacy of the people that they fill the survey.

Age *

Brand only a oval.

- ☐ Between 18 and 25
☐ Between 26 and 35
☐ Between 36 and 45
☐ Between 46 and 55
☐ 56–65
☐ 66–75
☐ 76–85

SEX *

Brand only a oval.

- ☐ Man
☐ Women

Other:

SCALE OF INTEREST BY ACTIVITY ACCORDING TO GOALS LEARNING

In this case, you should evaluate which activity/activities within the UrbanGame were the most interesting according to each learning objective and for what reasons.

Promote collaborative work (they are not exclusive) *

Choose all the that correspond.

- ☐ Activities group of resolution of issues ☐ Research and discovery group activities
☐ Interaction and simulation activities
☐ Activities of look for information and compare ☐ All
☐ None

Promote meaningful learning (they are not exclusive) *

Choose all the that correspond.

- ☐ Activities group of resolution of issues ☐ Research and discovery group activities
☐ Interaction and simulation activities
☐ Activities of look for information and compare ☐ All
☐ None

- ☐ Activities group of resolution of issues ☐ Research and discovery group activities
☐ Interaction and simulation activities
☐ Activities of look for information and compare ☐ All
☐ None

Promote learning based on problems (they are not exclusive) *

Choose all the that correspond.

- ☐ Activities group of resolution of issues ☐ Research and discovery group activities
☐ Interaction and simulation activities
☐ Activities of look for information and compare ☐ All
☐ None

Empower the learner j e by discovery (they are not exclusive) *

Choose all the that correspond.

- ☐ Activities group of resolution of issues ☐ Research and discovery group activities
☐ Interaction and simulation activities
☐ Activities of look for information and compare ☐ All
☐ None

Enhance oral expression (they are not exclusive) *

Choose all the that correspond.

- ☐ Activities group of resolution of issues ☐ Research and discovery group activities
☐ Interaction and simulation activities
☐ Activities of look for information and compare ☐ All
☐ None

Enhance oral expression (they are not exclusive) *

Choose all the that correspond.

- ☐ Activities group of resolution of issues ☐ Research and discovery group activities
☐ Interaction and simulation activities
☐ Activities of look for information and compare ☐ All
☐ None

Enhance motivation (they are not exclusive) *

Choose all the that correspond.

- ☐ Activities group of resolution of issues ☐ Research and discovery group activities
☐ Interaction and simulation activities
☐ Activities of look for information and compare ☐ All
☐ None

Enhance concentration (they are not exclusive) *

Choose all the that correspond.

- ☐ Activities group of resolution of issues ☐ Research and discovery group activities
- ☐ Interaction and simulation activities
- ☐ Activities of look for information and compare ☐ All
- ☐ None

ACTIVITIES AND STRATEGIES OF LEARNING

To what extent have you UNDERSTOOD better the content *

Brand only a oval.

1 2 3 4 5

Nothing Totally ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Briefly explain the previous answer. *

To what extent has it served you? UrbanGame and its activities to acquire *

COMPETENCES (learn-learn, reading, ICTs, etc.)

Brand only a oval.

1 2 3 4 5

Nothing Totally ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Briefly explain the previous answer. *

To what extent has UrbanGame been useful to you and its activities to take awareness of the VALUES (issues social, political, environmental)

Brand only a oval.

1 2 3 4 5

Nothing Totally ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

Briefly explain the previous answer.

References

1. Deterding, S.; Dixon, D.; Khaled, R.; Nacke, L. From Game Design Elements to Gamefulness: Defining “Gamification”. In Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference: Envisioning Future Media Environments, New York, NY, USA, 28–30 September 2011; Lugmayr, A., Franssila, H., Safran, C., Hammouda, I., Eds.; ACM: Brighton, UK, 2011; pp. 9–15.
2. Zichermann, G.; Cunningham, C. *Gamification by Design: Implementing Game Mechanics in Web and Mobile Apps*; O’Reilly Media: Sebastopol, CA, USA, 2011.
3. Doney, I. Research into Effective Gamification Features to Inform E-Learning Design. *Res. Learn. Technol.* **2019**, *27*, 2093. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Abt, C. *Serious Games*; Viking Press: New York, NY, USA, 1970.
5. Garris, R.; Ahlers, R.; Driskell, J.E. Games, Motivation, and Learning: A Research and Practice Model. *Simul. Gaming* **2002**, *33*, 441–467. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Gee, J.P.; Hayes, E. Nurturing Affinity Spaces and Game-Based Learning. In *Games, Learning, and Society: Learning and Meaning in the Digital Age*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2012; Volume 123, pp. 129–169.
7. Huizenga, J.; Admiraal, W.; Akkerman, S.; ten Dam, G. Mobile Game-Based Learning in Secondary Education: Engagement, Motivation and Learning in a Mobile City Game. *J. Comput. Assist. Learn.* **2009**, *25*, 332–344. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Klopfer, E.; Osterweil, S.; Salen, K. *Moving Learning Games Forward*; The Education Arcade: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2009.
9. Lin, H.; Sun, C.-T. Problems in Simulating Social Reality: Observations on a MUD Construction. *Simul. Gaming* **2003**, *34*, 69–88. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Martín-Antón, J.; Valdés-González, A.; Rodríguez, D.J. Elección, análisis y uso de herramientas didácticas para la enseñanza de las Ciencias Sociales. La importancia de la detección de anacronismos y falta de rigor histórico en un videojuego. *Riaices* **2021**, *3*, 17–26. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. McGonigal, J. *Reality Is Broken*; Penguin Press: New York, NY, USA, 2011.
12. Oblinger, D.G.; Oblinger, J.L. (Eds.) *Educating the Net Generation*; EDUCAUSE: Denver, CO, USA, 2005.
13. Romero, J. *¿Herramientas o Cacharros?: Los Ordenadores y La Enseñanza de La Historia En La ESO*; Servicio de Publicaciones de la Universidad de Cantabria: Santander, Spain, 1997.
14. Salen, K.; Zimmerman, E. *Regras Do Jogo: Fundamentos Do Design de Jogos*; Blucher: São Paulo, Brazil, 2012; ISBN 9788521206279.
15. Shannon, R.E. *Systems Simulation: The Art and Science*; Prentice-Hall: Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA, 1975.
16. Squire, K. *Video Games and Learning Teaching and Participatory Culture in the Digital Age*; Teachers’ College Press: New York, NY, USA, 2011.
17. Steinkuehler, C.; Squire, K.; Barab, S. *Games, Learning, and Society: Learning and Meaning in the Digital Age*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2012; ISBN 9780521196239.
18. Valverde, J. Aprendizaje de La Historia y Simulación Educativa. *Tejuelo* **2010**, *9*, 83–99.
19. Williams, R.; Dickinson, A.; Hulme, R. *New Technology in the Classroom. New History and New Technology Present into Future*; Historical Association Council for Educational Technology: London, UK, 1986; pp. 8–27.
20. Wright-Maley, C. Beyond the “Babel Problem”: Defining Simulations for the Social Studies. *J. Soc. Stud. Res.* **2015**, *39*, 63–77. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
21. Qian, M.; Clark, K.R. Game-Based Learning and 21st Century Skills: A Review of Recent Research. *Comput. Human Behav.* **2016**, *63*, 50–58. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
22. Kilgour, P.; Reynaud, D.; Northcote, M.; Shields, M. Role-Playing as a Tool to Facilitate Learning, Self Reflection and Social Awareness in Teacher Education. *Int. J. Innov. Interdiscip. Res.* **2015**, *2*, 8–20.
23. Zevin, J. *Social Studies for the Twenty-First Century: Methods and Materials for Teaching in Middle and Secondary Schools*, 5th ed.; Routledge: England, UK, 2023; ISBN 9780367459567.
24. Aldrich, C. *Simulations and the Future of Learning: An Innovative (and Perhaps Revolutionary) Approach to E-Learning*; John Wiley & Sons: San Francisco, CA, USA, 2004.

25. Annetta, L.A. The “I’s” Have It: A Framework for Serious Educational Game Design. *Rev. Gen. Psychol.* **2010**, *14*, 105–113. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
26. Arnab, S.; Lim, T.; Carvalho, M.B.; Bellotti, F.; de Freitas, S.; Louchart, S.; Suttie, N.; Berta, R.; De Gloria, A. Mapping Learning and Game Mechanics for Serious Games Analysis. *Br. J. Educ. Technol.* **2015**, *46*, 391–411. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
27. Martínez, C.; Montero, R.; Arias, G.; Salcedo, M.A. Los Juegos Serios, Su Aplicación En La Seguridad y Salud de Los Trabajadores. *Med. Segur. Trab.* **2019**, *65*, 87–100. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
28. Michael, D.R.; Chen, S. *Serious Games: Games That Educate, Train and Inform*; Thomson Course Technology: Boston, MA, USA, 2006; ISBN 9781592006229.
29. Nafukho, F.M. Simulations and the Future of Learning: An Innovative and Perhaps Revolutionary Approach to E-Learning. *Hum. Resour. Dev. Q.* **2004**, *15*, 253–257. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
30. Pellegrini, M.; Richard, E. *Mayer, Computer Games for Learning. An Evidence-Based Approach*; The MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, USA; London, UK, 2014; Volume 14, pp. 96–97. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
31. Primack, B.A.; Carroll, M.V.; McNamara, M.; Klem, M.L.; King, B.; Rich, M.; Chan, C.W.; Nayak, S. Role of Video Games in Improving Health-Related Outcomes: A Systematic Review. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **2012**, *42*, 630–638. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
32. Sitzmann, T. A Meta-Analytic Examination of the Instructional Effectiveness of Computer-Based Simulation Games. *Pers. Psychol.* **2011**, *64*, 489–528. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
33. Castro, S.S.; Sevillano, M.Á.P. Personalización del proceso de adquisición de la competencia en comunicación lingüística mediante el empleo de los serious games. Diferencias en función del género. *Educat* **2022**, *79*, 149–165. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
34. Belkin, J. Urban Dynamics: Applications as a Teaching Tool and as an Urban Game. *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybern.* **1972**, *2*, 166–169. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
35. Flanagan, M. *Critical Play: Radical Game Design*; The MIT Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2009; ISBN 9780262258760.
36. Innocent, T.; Leorke, D. (De)Coding the City Analyzing Urban Play through Wayfinder Live. *Am. J. Play.* **2020**, *12*, 270–304.
37. Pramaputri, N.I.; Gamal, A. Urban Planning Simulation Game and the Development of Spatial Competence. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* **2019**, *396*, 12016. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
38. Larissa, H.; Adriana, D.S.E.; Klare, L. *The Routledge Companion to Mobile Media Art*, 1st ed.; Routledge: England, UK, 2022; ISBN 9781032399959.
39. Johnson, D.W.; Johnson, R.T. An Educational Psychology Success Story: Social Interdependence Theory and Cooperative Learning. *Educ. Res.* **2009**, *38*, 365–379. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
40. Slavin, R.E. Instruction Based on Cooperative Learning. In *Handbook of Research on Learning and Instruction*; Mayer, R.E., Alexander, P.A., Eds.; Routledge Cavendish: London, UK, 2011; pp. 358–374.
41. Ausubel, D.P. *The Psychology of Meaningful Verbal Learning*; Grune & Stratton: London, UK, 1963.
42. Novak, J.D. *Learning, Creating, and Using Knowledge: Concept Maps as Facilitative Tools in Schools and Corporations*; Routledge Cavendish: London, UK, 2010.
43. Rosenshine, B. Principles of Instruction: Research-Based Strategies That All Teachers Should Know. *Am. Educ.* **2012**, *36*, 12–19.
44. Barrows, H.S.; Tamblyn, R.M. *Problem-Based Learning: An Approach to Medical Education*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1980.
45. Schmidt, H.G.; Loyens, S.M.M.; van Gog, T.; Paas, F. Problem-Based Learning Is Compatible with Human Cognitive Architecture: Commentary on Kirschner, Sweller, and Clark (2006). *Educ. Psychol.* **2011**, *42*, 91–97. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
46. Bruner, J.S. The Act of Discovery. *Harv. Educ. Rev.* **1961**, *31*, 21–32.
47. Alfieri, L.; Brooks, P.J.; Aldrich, N.J.; Tenenbaum, H.R. Does Discovery-Based Instruction Enhance Learning? *J. Educ. Psychol.* **2011**, *103*, 1–18. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
48. Posner, M.I.; Petersen, S.E. The Attention System of the Human Brain. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **1990**, *13*, 25–42. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
49. Schmidt, R.A. *Motor Learning and Performance: From Principles to Application*; Human Kinetics: Champaign, IL, USA, 1991.
50. Deci, E.L.; Ryan, R.M. *Intrinsic Motivation and Self-Determination in Human Behavior*; Springer Science+Business Media: New York, NY, USA, 1985.
51. Olmo, M.; Rosser, P.; Soler, S.; Poveda, C.; Oña, J.J.; Candela, V.F. *Guerra Civil y Memoria Histórica En Alicante*; Archivo Histórico Provincial de Alicante: Alicante, Spain, 2016.
52. Rosser, P. *Bombas Sobre Alicante. Los Diarios de La Guerra y Las Comisiones Internacionales de No Intervención e Inspección de Bombardeos*; Universidad de Alicante: Alicante, Spain, 2023; ISBN 9788413022215.
53. Rosser, P.; Soriano, R. *Alicante En Guerra*; Ayuntamiento de Alicante: Alicante, Spain, 2018; Volume 2.
54. Moreno, R.M.; Viñas, Á.; López, P.P.; Rosser, P. *La Aviación Fascista y El Bombardeo Del 25 de Mayo de Alicante*; Colección Alacant, ciutat de la memòria; Universidad de Alicante-Ajuntament d’Alacant: Alicante, Spain, 2018.
55. Soler, S.; Rosser, P. Empatizar Con Los Conflictos Bélicos Para Trabajar El ODS 16. Creación de Una Situación de Aprendizaje a Partir de La Simulación Urbana. In *Hacia una Educación con Basada en Las Evidencias de la Investigación y el Desarrollo Sostenible*; Dykinson: Madrid, Spain, 2023; ISBN 9788411704274.
56. Franciosi, S.J.; Mehring, J. What Do Students Learn by Playing an Online Simulation Game? In *Critical CALL—Proceedings of the 2015 EUROCALL Conference, Padova, Italy*; Research-Publishing.net: Dublin, Ireland, 2015; pp. 170–176.
57. Thiagarajan, S. How to Maximize Transfer from Simulation Games through Systematic Debriefing. In *The Simulation and Gaming Yearbook*; Percival, F., Lodge, F., Saunders, D., Eds.; Kogan Page Science: London, UK, 1993; pp. 45–52.

58. Kriz, W.C.; Nöbauer, B. *Teamkompetenz. Konzepte, Trainingsmethoden, Praxis*; Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht: Göttingen, Germany, 2002; Volume 2.
59. Wijnia, L.; Smeets, G.; Kroeze, M.J.; Van der Molen, H.T. Is Problem-Based Learning Associated with Students' Motivation? A Quantitative and Qualitative Study. *Learn. Environ. Res.* **2018**, *21*, 173–193. [CrossRef]
60. Alexander, E.S.; White, A.A.; Varol, A.; Appel, K.; Lieneck, C. Team- and Problem-Based Learning in Health Services Education. Literature Review of Recent Initiatives in the United States. *Educ. Sci.* **2024**, *14*, 515. [CrossRef]
61. Albadi, A.; David, S.A. The Impact of Activity Based Learning on Students' Motivation and Academic Achievement: A Study among 12th Grade Science and Environment Students in a Public School in Oman. *Spec. J. Knowl. Manag.* **2019**, *4*, 44–53.
62. Rossi, I.V.; de Lima, J.D.; Sabatke, B.; Nunes, M.A.F.; Ramirez, G.E.; Ramirez, M.I. Active Learning Tools Improve the Learning Outcomes, Scientific Attitude, and Critical Thinking in Higher Education: Experiences in an Online Course during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Biochem. Mol. Biol. Educ.* **2021**, *49*, 888–903. [CrossRef]
63. Papadopoulou, A.; Mystakidis, S.; Tsinakos, A. Immersive Storytelling in Social Virtual Reality for Human-Centered Learning about Sensitive Historical Events. *Information* **2024**, *15*, 244. [CrossRef]
64. The Role of Game Design in Cultural Preservation: Promoting Heritage through Gaming. 2024. Available online: <https://moldstud.com/articles/p-the-role-of-game-design-in-cultural-preservation-promoting-heritage-through-gaming> (accessed on 21 July 2024).
65. Kang, S.J. Emotional Exhibition, Visitors' Empathy, and History Education and Heritage Education in the Museum. *Korean Hist. Educ. Rev.* **2022**, *161*, 181–214. [CrossRef]
66. Wu, P.; Fan, K.; Chiang, H.; Ye, A. Exploring the Relationship of User's Emotions and Immersive Experience in a Virtual Environment of Local Culture. 2016. Available online: <https://ead.yasar.edu.tr/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/EAD-11-full-paper-ID-425-Pei-Fen-Wu-20150228-FINAL.pdf> (accessed on 21 July 2024).
67. Archeu Gamification Euprojects Erasmusplus Activity. Available online: https://www.linkedin.com/posts/archeu_gamification-euprojects-erasmusplus-activity-7170712183977316354-dLd2/ (accessed on 23 June 2024).
68. Exploring the Benefits of an Immersive Curriculum. Available online: <https://www.talespin.com/reading/exploring-the-benefits-of-an-immersive-curriculum> (accessed on 23 June 2024).
69. Alam, A.; Mohanty, A. Educational Technology: Exploring the Convergence of Technology and Pedagogy through Mobility, Interactivity, AI, and Learning Tools. *Cogent Eng.* **2023**, *10*, 2283282. [CrossRef]
70. Announcing the OpenExO Experience. Available online: <https://fox40.com/business/press-releases/ein-presswire/651735212/announcing-the-openexo-experience-nurturing-exponential-mindsets-through-engagement/> (accessed on 23 June 2024).
71. Nofal, E.; Panagiotidou, G.; Reffat, R.M.; Hameeuw, H.; Boschloos, V.; Vande Moere, A. Situated Tangible Gamification of Heritage for Supporting Collaborative Learning of Young Museum Visitors. *J. Comput. Cult. Herit.* **2020**, *13*, 1–24. [CrossRef]
72. Youth Cultural Heritage Grant Program—Alaska State Council on the Arts. Available online: <https://arts.alaska.gov/Youth-Cultural-Heritage-Grant-Program> (accessed on 23 June 2024).
73. Anonymous. *Heritage Education Programme Toolkit. For Heritage Clubs in Uganda's Secondary Schools and Other Young Ugandans*; CCFU (The Cross-Cultural Foundation of Uganda): Masaka, Uganda, 2019.
74. UNESCO World Heritage Centre Activities. Available online: https://whc.unesco.org/en/activities/id_keywords=484&action=list (accessed on 23 June 2024).
75. Celebrating African World Heritage Day. Available online: <https://awhf.net/2371-2/> (accessed on 23 June 2024).
76. Cultural Heritage and Education. Available online: <https://culture.ec.europa.eu/cultural-heritage/cultural-heritage-in-eu-policies/cultural-heritage-and-education> (accessed on 23 June 2024).
77. MacDowell, M.; Kozma, L.G. Folkpatterns: A Place-Based Youth Cultural Heritage Education Program. *J. Mus. Educ.* **2007**, *32*, 263–273. [CrossRef]
78. Youth on the Trail of World Heritage. Available online: <https://www.ovpm.org/program/youth-on-the-trail-of-world-heritage/> (accessed on 23 June 2024).

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.